wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Servando Moriya-Mendoza		Case Number: <u>09-6044M</u>
present and w		3142(f), a detention hearing was held on February 9, 2009. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
∏ ⊠	•	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
		rged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant	faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs I the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
	The defendant has no significant con	tacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
	The defendant has a prior criminal hi	story.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applic substantial family ties to Mexico.	ant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade la	w enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.
The Cat the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as not	rial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour red in the record. ONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1. 2.	DIRECTION	tions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.  ONS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections appeal. The cof the United	facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for th the United States Marshal for the purpo	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending apportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour e Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the se of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.   S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to iciently in advance of the hearing before e potential third party custodian.	o a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria e the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	TED this 10 <sup>th</sup> day of February, 2	2009.
		David K. Duncan
	Uı	nited States Magistrate Judge